Hazards identification and risk analysis in surface mines of Pakistan using fault tree analysis technique
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Abstract

Purpose. Technology has advanced significantly but still mining industry faces a higher number of accidents. The purpose of the research is to identify the common hazards and associated risk which are the root causes of accidents in surface mines of Pakistan and to suggest the preventive measures to enhance safety at workplace.

Methods. Integrated approach used in this research work involves: collection of mine accidents data from related Government departments; occupational safety data collection from mine sites with questionnaire; fault tree analysis method applied based on three groups of factors/causes obtained from 3E’s Model i.e. Engineering, Education and Enforcement that causes accidents in mine; risk assessment and suggestion of preventive measures.

Findings. In this study forty three root causes of accidents in surface mines are identified and presented as basic events and undeveloped events in the Fault Trees. A compressed picture of the root causes is revealed leading to accidents in mine. The main causes identified are human errors, unsafe operating procedure, lack of machinery, lack of personal protective equipment, environmental and haulage related hazards and violation of law.

Originality. The root causes of accidents in surface mines have been identified. For the first time, the visual paths to accidents causation in surface mines of Pakistan are outlined through fault tree analysis technique.

Practical implications. The identified causes of accidents along with the suggested preventive measures can be used to avoid/curtail the number and severity of accidents in surface mines and can save lives of workers and economy.
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1. Introduction

Mining industry contributes to the economic growth of a country. Besides providing employment, the products obtained from mining are used in various industries like medicines, construction, agriculture etc. [1], [2]. Despite these benefits, mining is among the hazardous tasks and involves extensive health and safety risk to mine workers [3]. In mines the unsafe conditions bring number of accidents which results in loss of lives, serious and minor injuries and economical loss.

Mine accident is an unplanned and undesirable event which occurs due to presence of hazards in mine. Identification of hazards and analysis of associated risk is important to reduce number of accidents. The number of accidents depends on the geology, presence of hazards and the safety system of the mine. Improper management of hazards and risks associated with mining activities causes mine disasters. Fall from height, slippage of foot, fall of rocks, fly rocks, inappropriate machinery and haulage etc. are the common safety hazards while dust, noise, ergonomics and stress are health hazards in the surface mines [4]-[9]. These risks can be controlled by proper management system.

Risk management process is a systematic approach which involves three main processes i.e. establishment of context, assessment of risk and treatment of risk [10]. Risk assessment is applied to understand uncertainty and the risk associated in wide-ranging perspective for better decisions and actions [11], [12]. Objective of this research is to identify the common hazards and the risk associated which are the root causes of accidents in surface mines through risk assessment technique.

1.1. Accidents in surface mines of Pakistan: A brief overview

In Pakistan, according to law, the mine accidents are reported to the Inspectorate of Mines Department, in each province. Thousands of workers employed in surface mines, are exposed to various hazards. Due to these hazards they face accidents frequently. Mostly fatal accidents are reported while the minor accidents are not given much importance. Unfortunately, in some provinces the record of the accidents...
data is not properly available. Figure 1 describes the occupational accidents data from 2010 to 2019, obtained from the Inspectorates of Mines Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Punjab and Sindh, which shows that number of fatalities is quite higher in surface mines of sandstone and marble than other minerals.

![Figure 1. Comparison of fatalities in various surface mines of Pakistan during 2010-2019](image)

In developing countries the work related fatalities are more than the developed countries due to unsafe working conditions [13]. The fatality rate in the surface mines of Pakistan is much higher as shown in Figure 2 by comparing it with fatality rate of the surface mines of USA [14].

![Figure 2. Comparison of fatality rate in surface mines of Pakistan with USA](image)

Figures 1 and 2 show that the working environment in surface mines of Pakistan is worse as such no consistent decrease in fatalities is observed in the last ten years. The fatality rate is of high concern and needed to be addressed. Therefore, this study was undertaken to investigate and analyze the main causes of accidents in the surface mines of Pakistan. For this purpose the accident data reported to Inspectorate of Mines Department and the occupational safety data collected from surface mines were evaluated together to identify the root causes of accidents.

1.2. Literature survey

Accident is an unwanted event and occur due to various factors. The risk of accidents occurrence depends on the mine working condition and the safety system followed. Although all the accidents cannot be prevented but the intensity can be minimized up to some extent with strategic safety planning.

Miners are exposed to number of potential health and safety hazards at workplace. Zhang et al, reported that Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) recorded 137 fatalities related to haul truck in mining operations in United States from 1995 to 2011 [15]. The analysis of the accident data reported to the Inspectorate Division of Mineral of Ghana from 2008 to 2017 shows that 90% of all fatalities and 85% of all injuries are due to mining equipment at sites [16]. Kecojevic analyzed the mine accidents data and reported that from 1978 to 1998 in surface mining of US, the two factors fly rock and unsecure blast area account for approximately 68% of all explosive blasting related injuries [4].

Due to hazardous nature of the surface mines, a suitable safety system is important in order to reduce the number and severity of the accidents. Unsafe conditions, lack of proper inspections, noncompliance of occupational health and safety measures are the common causes of accidents in mines [17]. The human error is the most common factor in the causation of accidents in mining and need to be incorporated on priority basis in safety management [18]. Chen et al. suggested that reforms in the safety laws and strengthening of the local regulation department are important to ensure safety in mining [19].

Furthermore, safety training and technological developmental skills are important for accident prevention and safety management. Management commitment to safety and everyone’s responsibility to workplace safety are important tools to enhance accident prevention. Globally, organizations make effort for a zero accident vision with risk management programs.

There are numerous approaches in literature for the identification and management of hazards for mine safety. Various risk assessment techniques were used to find out the basic causes of accidents in mines. Zhang et al used fault tree analysis method to find the root causes of the fatal accidents occurred due to haul trucks in surface mines [15]. National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) in 2008 used the Major Hazards Risk Assessment (MHRA) method to assess and eliminate the major hazards that causes accidents in the US mineral industry [20]. Recently the Decision Matrix Risk-Assessment (DMRA) procedure was used to identify the hazardous activities, assess the risks and to propose the control measures for better working environment [21].

Khanzode et al. proposed a systematic procedure based on Weibull-distribution and Poisson-distribution models starting from the identification of recurring hazards, then evaluation and periodic monitoring of these hazards of the underground coal mines by using the principles of Control Chart [22]. Sari et al. proposed a risk assessment methodology considering two factors of accidents, level of hazard and probability of its occurrences for underground coal mines [23]. Based on literature study it has been revealed that fault tree analysis (FTA) technique is one of the most versatile technique that give more effective results for risk assessment. Therefore, in this research FTA techniques is applied for risk analysis in surface mines.

2. Materials and methods

To achieve the objective of the research, the accidents data from 2010 to 2019 was collected from Inspectorate of Mines Department and also questionnaire survey was conducted in sandstone, marble, gypsum, limestone, phosphate, silica sand, dolomite and feldspar surface mines of Pakistan. In questionnaire survey data regarding various types of hazards present in the surface mines were acquired from mine
workers and supervisors at the mine sites. The relevant literature is also considered throughout the research carried out. The main task of this study is the identification of the root causes of accidents in surface mines with risk analysis technique.

2.1. Fault tree analysis

Fault tree analysis is a logical Top-Bottom technique used for resolving an undesired event into its root causes [24]. In FTA specific logic symbols and specific diagrams are used stepwise logically to show the relationships in events from top to bottom [25]. This analysis is used to identify those root causes that contribute in the causation of undesired Top-Event [26] so that it could be removed to reduce the number of accidents. This technique is used by nuclear industry from years [25] and now it is used by many industries like transportation [27], aviation, power [28], [29] construction [30], chemical [31] and mining [32]. Some of the symbols used in Fault Tree are given Table 1.

In this study the fault tree is developed to identify the common hazards and associated risks in surface mines which are in the form of human unsafe behaviors, weakness in the safety system, lack of education and lack of rules and regulations. The fault tree is developed on the basis of three groups of elements/factors/causes acquired from the 3 E’s Model. The 3 E’s model i.e. Engineering, Education and Enforcement was first presented by the National Safety Council United States in 1974 for occupational safety [33]. The elements in the engineering dimension are the safety devices (machines, tools and Personal protective equipment PPE) and environmental factors (dust, noise, temperatures and other workplace hazards), elements in the education category are skills development and safety training related to safety awareness i.e. able to recognize and communicate hazards, and proficiency in work while the elements in enforcement is the preparation and execution of law [34].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Top event</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Event that is undesirable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Intermediate event</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Event that need further analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And Event or And Gate</td>
<td></td>
<td>And event is used to indicate that output occur if both the inputs occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR Event</td>
<td></td>
<td>OR event is used to indicate that output occur if any of the inputs occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Event</td>
<td></td>
<td>Main cause of failure/basic cause/failure event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undeveloped Event</td>
<td></td>
<td>Event in the system/tree that is not further developed and is considered as a basic event. Event in the system having lack of information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Event</td>
<td></td>
<td>Event in the system/tree developed in the sub-tree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.1. Accidents in surface mines – root causes

The top event in the fault tree is Accident in Surface Mine as shown below in Figure 3. There are three main branches/parameters of the fault tree obtained from 3 E’s Model as discussed earlier in the paper.

![Figure 3. Accidents in surface mines](image-url)
The accident can occur due to any one of the three parameters (Lack of engineering/technology, Lack of education and Lack of enforcement of law) which are shown as intermediate events. Further the causes of accidents are displayed as intermediate events and basic events in the fault tree.

The tree also include one transfer symbol pointing to the sub-tree (Fig. 4) and one undeveloped event mean details not available. OR gate is used because accident can occur due to anyone of the cause. The causes are obtained from the accident reports, questionnaire survey and from mine sites.

Figure 4. Workplace hazards in surface mines

2.1.2. Workplace hazards in surface mines – root causes

Figure 4 illustrate the root causes of accidents related to the mine workplace. The sub-tree shows common physical hazards that contributes in the causation of accidents. This fault tree has one transfer symbol leading to sub-tree (Fig. 5) and two undeveloped events having numerous causes.

Figure 5. Haulage related hazards in surface mines

2.1.3. Haulage related hazards – root causes

Haulage related hazards that cause accidents in surface mines are illustrated in Figure 5 below. The sub-tree provide ten basic events and one undeveloped event as causes of accidents due to haulage.
3. Results and discussion

There are numerous hazards in surface mines which are the main causes of accidents. Identification of all the hazards present in a mine is difficult. Every mine is unique and has different environment so the hazards are also not all the same. The level of education of workers and management participation is also different in one mine than another mine beside mining method and machinery. But some hazards and their root causes are common in surface mines. The common causes of accident in surface mines are illustrated in the Fault Trees (Figs. 3-5) in the form of intermediate, basic and undeveloped events.

The fault trees present clear picture of the more than forty causes/events due to which accidents occur in mines. The result of the fault trees show that “Lack of engineering/technology” which is concerned with the design, procedure and environment has total of 13 intermediate events with 26 basic events and 3 undeveloped events details including 4 intermediate events with 4 basic events in Figures 3 and 7 intermediate events with 12 basic events and 2 undeveloped events from sub-tree in Figure 4 and 2 intermediate with 10 basic events and 1 undeveloped event from sub-tree in Figure 5.

While “Lack of education” is about lack of basic skills and safety training has 2 intermediate and 9 basic events as illustrated in Figure 4 and “Lack of Enforcement of law” is about the mode of following the rules and regulations in mines which has 2 intermediate, 4 basic and 1 undeveloped event as described in Figure 5. The hazards in surface mines along with the root causes and risk associated and preventive measures are thoroughly discussed below in Tables 2-4.

Table 2 demonstrates the details of the risk analysis for the event “Lack of Engineering/Technology” with common risk associated and preventive measures. By adopting the proper precautionary measure in workplace accident can be controlled in number and severity.

Table 3 shows the details of the risk analysis for the event “Lack of Education”. Lack of technical training and lack of safety training are the common problems in most of mines. With proper education and training hazards can be reported/eliminated on time and safety can be enhanced.

Table 4 explains the details of the risk analysis for the event “Lack of Enforcement of Law”. In mostly mines law is not properly followed. Law should be enforced in every mines to reduce accidents and improve safety.

The preventive measures are adapted from various well known organization MSHA [35, 36], Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) [37], National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and Occupational Safety and Health and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (NIOSH) [20, 38], safety reports [39]-[42] and research publications [3], [43].

| Table 3. Risk analysis for accidents in surface mines based on 2nd cause (lack of education) |
| Hazard sources (intermediate events) | Root causes (basic events and undeveloped events) | Risk associated | Preventive measures |
| Lack of technical training | – cannot work accurately; | – more chances of fatal accidents; | – only skilled person should be allowed for work; |
| | – don’t adopt proper procedure; | – chances of serious and minor injuries are also high; | – if not properly trained appoint as a trainee; |
| | – do more mistakes. | – damage to mine and concerned machinery and vehicle etc. | – technical training should be arranged immediately when new equipment is installed or new procedure is adopted. |

| Table 4. Risk analysis for accidents in surface mines based on 3rd cause (lack of enforcement of law) |
| Hazard sources (intermediate events) | Root causes (basic events and undeveloped events) | Risk associated | Preventive measures |
| Law not followed | – not followed by workers; | – more chances of fatal accidents; | – proper law should be implemented to avoid any mishap; |
| | – not followed by management. | – chances of serious and minor injuries are also high; | – safety training must be arranged on regular basis to create awareness regarding importance of law; |
| | | – damage to mine and concerned machinery and vehicle etc. | – penalty must be introduce if law in not followed. |

| Law followed up to some extent (several causes) | – followed up to some extent by workers; | – chances of minor injuries, serious injuries and fatality; | – proper law should be implemented to avoid any mishap; |
| | – followed up to some extent by management. | – damage to mine and concerned machinery and vehicle etc. | – safety training must be arranged on regular basis to create awareness regarding importance of law; |
| | | | – penalty must be introduce if law in not followed. |
| Law not clear | – law not clear | – fatal results | – inspectorate of mines should ensure the law in mines and remove ambiguity if there.
### Table 2. Risk analysis for accidents in surface mines based on 1st cause (lack of engineering/technology)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard sources (intermediate events)</th>
<th>Root causes (basic events and undeveloped events)</th>
<th>Risk associated</th>
<th>Preventive measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal protective equipment (PPE) related root causes</td>
<td>– no proper PPE; – no proper use of PPE.</td>
<td>– injury to head and other body parts etc.; – respiratory, hearing and skin problems.</td>
<td>– helmet should be used to avoid hitting by hard things/rock etc.; – proper gloves should be used for hand work; – mask should be used to reduce inhalation of dust etc.; – proper clothing to avoid any skin contact with hazardous substances; – proper clothing to avoid entrapment in machinery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery/tool related problems</td>
<td>– no proper machinery/tool.</td>
<td>– health effects related to dust, noise and vibration; – chance of entrapment by machinery; – chance of minor cut due to sharp edges or serious injury; – chance of fire and related damages.</td>
<td>– use of proper machinery, tools etc.; – skills to develop to properly use the machinery; – sharp edges should be dealt with care; – remove defects from machinery on time; – use of PPE related to machinery; – if caught fire, proper firefighting equipment should be available to control on time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Workplace Hazards/Environment

| Fall of rock/Sliding of rock | – fall of small loose rock/rocks; – fall of boulder/boulders; – sliding of surface. | – minor injury, serious injury or fatality to the workers; – damage to the machinery, vehicle, mine/property. | – practice mechanized mining instead of conventional mining method; – examination before shift start; – examination after drilling and blasting; – use of related PPE for protection; – safety training to recognize and protect himself from hazard. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall/Slippage of Worker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall/Slip from height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall/Slip on the same surface</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Haulage related accident factors | – improper way of loading/dumping; – inappropriate way of excavation. | – minor injury of worker; – serious injury; – fatality; – damage to vehicle. | – only skilled driver should drive; – vehicle should be checked properly before starting; – care should be taken if haul roads are narrow, steep or slippery; – care must be taken if there are sharp turns or frequent turns; – avoid short cuts; – don’t overload the trucks. |
| Haul road | – steep; – narrow; – slippery; – sharp turns; – obstructions. | – only the loaded truck must drive slowly to avoid any overturned; – the haul road should of proper slope and width, not slippery and obstruction free; – the turns must also be smooth to avoid inconvenience; – to avoid any type of brake failure or mechanical fault, vehicle must be checked regularly; – driver must be properly trained; – driver must know about the site problems. |
| Driver Error | – unskilled; – stress/restless. | – minor injury of worker; – serious injury; – fatality; – damage to property. | – avoid unnecessary blasting; – before blasting it must be ensured that everyone is in safe place; – only skilled short firer should be appointed for blasting; – good quality of explosives, safety fuse etc. should be used; – required quantity of explosive should be used to avoid fly rocks and vibration; – misfire should be handled properly safety training is important to ensure safety. |
| Explosive related Hazards | – fly rocks; – vibration; – premature blast; – human error; – not good quality explosive; – lack of security in blast area. | – mostly fatality | – use good quality of electric appliances and cables; – if damaged should be repaired on time; – use PPE to be safe from electric shocks; – consider the intensity of temperature on site, avoid working in too hot temperature; – take proper rest and food to avoid any health problem. |
| Others causes (electric shock, mechanical, heat stroke etc.) (several causes) | – mostly fatality | – use good quality of electric appliances and cables; – if damaged should be repaired on time; – use PPE to be safe from electric shocks; – consider the intensity of temperature on site, avoid working in too hot temperature; – take proper rest and food to avoid any health problem. |
4. Conclusions

In mining industry, safety risk identification and management is an effective step for reducing accidents. Safety status of workplaces can be improved by proper implementation of safety management policies. In this research work, risk analysis for the surface mines accidents is carried out thoroughly. Fault tree analysis method was applied to provide a visual representation of the root causes of surface mine accidents. More than forty root causes of accidents are identified through fault tree techniques. The main causes of accidents identified are human errors, lack of proper machinery and personal protective equipment, environmental hazards, absence of safety training and violation of rules and regulations. Other causes include fall/slide of rock, fall/slippage of workers, haulage and explosive related hazards. The root causes with associated risks are presented and their preventive measures are suggested for enhancing safety in mines. This risk management based approach is helpful in the reduction of mine accidents and improvement of health and safety of workers. It is recommended that effective risk assessment system should be implemented in every mine to identify the hazard on time for safe practices and safe environment.
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Визначення збитків та аналіз ризиків при відкритій розробці корисних копалин на кар’єрах Пакистану із використанням методу аналізу дерева відмов

С. Шерин, З.-ур-Рехман, С. Хуссейн, Н. Мохаммад, С. Рaza

Мета. Визначення загального збитку й пов’язаних з ним ризиків, які є першопричинами аварій на кар’єрах Пакистану на основі застосування методу аналізу дерева відмов і розробка способів запобігання аварійним ситуаціям, а також підвищення виробничої безпеки у гірничодобувній промисловості.

Методика. Використано комплексний метод дослідження, який включає збір даних про аварії на кар’єрах з відповідних офіційних джерел, збір даних щодо безпеки праці шляхом опитування працівників кар’єр, метод аналізу дерева відмов на основі трьох груп факторів/причин, пов’язаних з аваріями в кар’єрах – інженерія, освіта та виробнична дисципліна – отриманих за допомогою 3E моделі, а також методи оцінки ризиків і розробка заходів із запобігання аваріям.

Результати. В даному дослідженні ідентифіковані 43 основні причини аварій на кар’єрах, які представлені як основні події та нерозчинні події у дереві відмов. Розкривається стисла картинка першопричин аварій на кар’єрі. Основними виявленими причинами є людські помилки, небезпечні робочі процедури, відсутність обладнання, відсутність засобів індивідуального захисту, небезпеки, пов’язані з навколишнім середовищем і транспортуванням, а також порушення закону. Виявлені другорядні причини, пов’язані з падінням і обваленням порід, а також транспортуванням вибухових речовин.

Основними висновками можна сказати, що відкриті розробки кар’єр в Пакистані вимагають завдати уваги на проблеми безпеки, освіти і виробничі дисципліни, а також звернути увагу на гірничих робітників і зміцнити економіку.

Ключові слова: визначення збитків, відкрита розробка кар’єр, ризики, метод аналізу дерева відмов, оцінка ризиків, заходи запобігання аваріям

Определение ущерба и анализ рисков при открытой разработке полезных ископаемых на карьерах Пакистана с использованием метода анализа дерева отказов

С. Шерин, З.-ур-Рехман, С. Хуссейн, Н. Мохаммад, С. Рaza

Цель. Определение общего ущерба и связанного с ним рисков, которые являются первопричинами аварий на карьерах Пакистана на основе применения метода анализа дерева отказов и разработка способов предотвращения аварийных ситуаций, а также повышение производственной безопасности в горнодобывающей промышленности.

Методика. Использован комплексный метод исследования, который включает сбор данных об авариях на карьерах из соответствующих официальных источников, сбор данных относительно безопасности труда путем опроса работников карьеров, метод анализа дерева отказов на основе трех групп факторов/причин, связанных с авариями в карьерах – инженерия, образование и производственная дисциплина – полученных при помощи 3E модели, а также методы оценки рисков и разработка мер по предотвращению аварий.

Результаты. В данном исследовании идентифицированы 43 основные причины аварий на карьерах, которые представлены как основные события и неразрывные события в деревьях отказов. Раскрывается схема картины первопричин аварий на карьере. Основными выявленными причинами являются человеческие ошибки, небезопасные рабочие процедуры, отсутствие оборудования, отсутствие средств индивидуальной защиты, опасности, связанные с окружающей средой и транспортировкой, а также нарушение закона. Выявлены второстепенные причины, связанные с падением и обрушением пород, а также транспортировкой взрывчатых веществ. Рекомендовано каждому карьеру внедрить эффективную систему оценки рисков для своевременного выявления опасности для безопасных практик и безопасной окружающей среды.

Научная новизна. Впервые выявлены и построены взаимосвязи причин аварий в открытых рудниках Пакистана в виде схем при помощи метода анализа дерева отказов.

Практическая значимость. Идентифицированные причины аварий и предложенные меры их предотвращения могут быть использованы для того, чтобы ликвидировать или свести к минимуму количество и серьезность аварий в открытых рудниках, что поможет спасти жизни горнорабочих и укрепить экономику.

Ключевые слова: определение ущерба, открытая разработка карьеров, метод анализа дерева отказов, оценка рисков, меры предотвращения аварий