Elaborating a scheme for mine methane capturing while developing coal gas seams
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Abstract

**Purpose** is to substantiate and develop an efficient scheme of coalmine methane capture while preparing and developing gassy coal seams.

**Methods.** Critical analysis of literature sources has been carried out; practice of applying the known schemes of coalmine methane capture for its further use has been systematized. Analysis and selection of theoretical substantiation of a new coalmine methane capture scheme have been performed taking into consideration the parameters of preparation and development of gassy coal seams. Methods of mathematical analysis have been applied to describe the dependence of gamma distribution of the continuous random variable of gas emission intensity on the distance to a stope.

**Findings.** A scheme of coal mass degassing has been improved; that scheme helps increase degassing degree and eliminate colliery gas, including methane, in terms of specific arrangement of wells and introduction of new technological operations and parameters. The developed scheme takes into consideration physical and mathematical properties of rocks to increase the volume of produced gas along with the reduced total mining costs. Mathematical modeling has made it possible to define that the density function coincides maximally with the experimental and practical graph of dependence of gas emission intensity on the distance to a stope.

**Originality.** Analytical dependences have been specified making it possible to evaluate rational range of the depth of degassing gas outlet wells and the distance between them according to the proposed scheme of their arrangement within the extraction pillar.

**Practical implications.** The proposed scheme of coal mass degassing allows controlling coalmine methane extraction including special preparatory operations. It helps widen a range of effective application of the system for colliery gas extraction and reduce the time for preparatory degassing operations; that favours both rising stope output and the associated coalmine methane recovery with the corresponding increase in energy saving and safety during mining operations.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, natural gas consumption is at a high level. Since gas is extracted mostly from nonrenewable deposits, that results in the necessity to expand the exploration area and search for alternative sources [1]-[3] as well as to improve the available extraction techniques [4]-[9] and to consider unconventional methods of gas recovery from coal and biomass [10], [11]. When gas is extracted in a traditional way, there is a need to solve the problems connected with the specific features arising in the process of drilling operations [12]-[15].

Coal seams, which development involves the equipment requiring constant improvement, may also be considered as alternative sources to extract natural gas [16], [17]. Increase in gassiness of the developed coal seams is a great difficulty for efficient use of such heavy-duty facilities in production and development faces [18]-[21]. Growth of air supply to the production development sites is limited by such parameter as boundary admissible air rate and economically expedient areas of mine working cross sections. Owing to those facts, procedures aimed at prevention, decrease, or redistribution of mine methane emissions within the mine working during certain technological processes (gas control methods) are widely used in coal mines [22]-[24]. The methods include gas control, mining and engineering facilities control, ventilation facilities control, and degassing facilities control.

Ventilation of mine working is the basic method of methane recovery from the coal mines. Despite the fact that
methane dilution in a mine requires the air supply being 10-20 times more than the amount of the extracted coal. Nowadays, it is not enough to reduce methane concentration within the mine working down to the safe level [25]-[27]. Under such conditions, methods of forced degassing of main gas-emission sources (coal seams, rocks, and worked-out areas) are applied – the methods mean methane pumping out [28]. However, despite their high cost, even complex use of several methods to control methane in a mine cannot always provide safe level of methane concentration.

2. Literature review

There are numerous schemes to capture mine methane. As a rule, they involve various degassing techniques while developing gassy coal seams. Selection of degassing technique while developing gassy coal seams of various thicknesses depends upon different factors in terms of a certain case. The factors include natural coal and lateral rock gassiness, direction of fissility, natural seam pressure of the gas, sorption and filtration properties, and the adopted system of development.

In terms of stoping, preliminary or accompanying degassing with various types of coal seam effecting is applied [29]. Main feature of preliminary degassing is in the fact that to increase gas recovery factor, the coal mass should be effectuated in more energy-intensive way. In its turn, that has negative influence upon economic efficiency of a mining enterprise [30], [31].

Accompanying degassing makes it possible to use the results of manifestation of natural dynamic processes in the rock mass caused by mining operation development as well as to predict more accurately the dynamics of formation of gas accumulation areas, intensity of its emission into the face zone, worked-out area, and development entries of the working area.

Intensity of methane emission from the developed seam while its breaking depends upon the extraction pattern, type of actuating device of an extracting machine, shearer feed rate, longwall length and other factors. In this context, as a rule, greatest methane accumulation within the face zone is observed near the conveyor.

Branch institutions propose typical schemes for seam degassing stipulating advance drilling of degassing wells from the development mine workings [29]. It should be noted that following parameters are rather important conditions for degassing efficiency: well length, distance between wells, their diameters etc.

It should be highlighted that in most cases the major share of the emitted mine methane is accounted for the worked-out area within the extraction pillar [32]-[34]. Thus, the problem of its capturing is still topical while developing coal reserves.

The problem is especially urgent in terms of possible methane capturing within the operating mines with great amount of its commercial reserves [35], [36]. There can be given an example of methane emission values in terms of mines of PJSC “DTEK Pavlohradsvuhilhia”; the values, represented in Table 1, are rather characteristic for the majority of Ukrainian coal mining enterprises.

In this context, Table 2 represents indices of gas balance of the working area being characteristic for the majority of coal mining enterprises of Ukraine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of a mine</th>
<th>Rating of gas hazard</th>
<th>Methane content, m³/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blahodatna</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroiiv Kosmosu</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dniprovskas</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zakhdin-Dobnaska</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavlohradaska</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samarska</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stashkova</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stepova</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ternivska</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuvileina</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Indices of average methane content in the operating mines of PJSC “DTEK Pavlohradsvuhilhia”**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methane release sources</th>
<th>Average expected methane emission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>relative, m³/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loosed coal, ( q_{о.п} )</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loosed coal in long wall, ( q_{о.п} )</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production face, ( q_{о.п} )</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enclosing rocks, ( q_{о.п} )</td>
<td>7.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seams being underworked, ( q_{о.п} )</td>
<td>27.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seams being developed, ( q_{о.п} )</td>
<td>8.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seams being overworked, ( q_{о.п} )</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worked-out area, ( q _{о.п} )</td>
<td>38.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stope face, ( q_{о.п} )</td>
<td>9.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working area, ( q_{о.п} )</td>
<td>44.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyzing the expression to determine the expected methane emission from the worked-out area (\( q_{о.п} \)), according to [37], it may be concluded that there are following sources from which methane comes into the worked-out area:

- coal loosened from the developed seams and left within the worked-out area;
- seams being overworked;
- seams being underworked;
- enclosing rocks of the rock mass.

Taking into account the fact that practically all the methane from the mentioned sources comes into the worked-out area, degassing is still one of the most important measures in terms of methane capturing within the limits of the working area.

Considering the aforementioned, there is the necessity to develop efficient scheme to capture coalmine methane; it is especially topical in terms of the development of the reserves of gassy coal mines.

The proposed scheme of coal rock mass degassing deals with the issues of mining operation safety in coal mines as well as methane capturing and its recovery; the scheme may be used while stopping operations.

There is a well-known method of coal rock mass degassing involving the construction of additional mine working – collector. The working is driven above the coal seams along the whole extraction pillar length behind the zone of active displacements of main roof; that is the basis to form separate gas-collecting level. To do that, the mine working floor should have a ring of wells dividing the site into sub-sites, uniting the degassing system, and performing degassing operations by forced gas withdrawal into the wells, drilled from the surface, into the collector and the seam being developed [38].

Disadvantage of the technique is in the complexity of that degassing system and the construction of additional mine working, acting as a collector, with a complex system of degassing wells.

There is also additional degassing technique when degassing wells are drilled from the mother gate to access the roof rock; the wells are drilled above the coal seam throughout the extraction pillar length with the degassing wells displacement towards zonal mine working. That is, the wells are located along the zonal mine working at small distance from its axis [39].

Disadvantage of the method is in its insufficient level of coal rock mass degassing with consequent unproductive gas recovery.

There is also the degassing technique where horizontal degassing wells are drilled from the zonal mine working to access the roof rocks; the wells are drilled above the coal seam in parallel to the production face at the distance being 0.5–1.0 m from the seam [29]. Disadvantage of the method is in low level of coal rock mass degassing; thus, the technique is low efficient as for gas recovery.

The proposed scheme of wells construction has the following basis: a task to improve degassing of coal rock mass when innovative operations and parameters are introduced to increase the degassing degree (including methane removing) with the possibility to take into consideration physical and mechanical properties of rocks. The process results in further growth of the extracted gas output along with the decreased total costs for mineral extraction [40].

3. Methods

The problem is solved as follows. According to the well-known coal rock mass degassing technique, horizontal degassing wells are drilled within the rock mass; the wells access the seam roof rocks throughout the pillar length in parallel to the zonal mine workings with return ventilation air. According to the proposed scheme, horizontal degassing wells are drilled within relatively stable roof rocks in the central part of the extraction pillar; a set of wells is drilled in controlled drilling from them towards the coal seam into the zone of active stratification of the main roof forming a gas-collecting level from which degassing is performed by forced mine gas recovery.

Figure 1 demonstrates the proposed technique explained by the scheme of coal rock mass degassing to recover coalmine gas. When preparation work to form extraction pillar is completed (Fig. 1), i.e. there are structures of development zonal mine workings 2 and 4 as well as installation chamber of production face 3, horizontal degassing wells 5 are drilled from mother entry 1. Further, a set of vertical degassing wells 6 are drilled from the horizontal degassing wells 5 towards coal seam 8. All the wells supply captured gas into gas pipe of mother entry 7.

Depending upon physical and chemical properties of roof rocks, one or several horizontal degassing wells 5 may be drilled within the area of extraction pillar. Total amount of horizontal degassing wells is calculated for specific mining conditions taking into consideration properties of the roof rocks.

Calculation of the parameters of engineering process as well as preliminary construction and putting into service of all the degassing wells before stoping operations is a compulsory condition.

4. Results and discussion

When reserves of coal mine 8 are extracted, within the area of extraction pillar, production face 3 moves constantly with the rate being usually calculated for specific mining conditions. In terms of constant movement of production face 3 above the coal seam, within the area of extraction pillar, rock is broken and stratifies forming gas-collecting level; that favours activation of natural process of mine gas emission, i.e. methane emission. There is conventional division into separate active zones of gas-collecting level formation within certain areas creating additional surfaces for mine gas (i.e. methane) emission from associated seams and rocks containing working layer; that favours general methane capturing. Formation of such local zones of methane accumulation creates the conditions for efficient mine gas (i.e. methane) recovery within the zones of mining operations.

Layout parameters of degassing wells 5 and 6, in particular, distances $H_{1bh}$, $H_{m1}$, $R_{1bh}$, and $R_{m1}$, as Figure 1 shows, are also calculated for specific mining conditions.

The proposed technique makes it possible to activate the process of mine gas accumulation and to control both the preset direction of mine gas within the extraction pillar limits and its capturing.

Since gas capturing starts after underworking of wells by a stoping face when production face shifts from the well, then, according to [41], gas emission process may be evaluated by function:

$$q = a e^{-b x},$$

where:

...
\[ x \text{ – a distance from the production face; } \]
\[ a, b \text{ – coefficients depending upon the specific mining and engineering conditions.} \]

Figure 2 demonstrates a graph of gas emission intensity dependence upon the distance to a production face where \( q \) is gas emission intensity and \( x \) is distance to production face.

![Figure 2. Dependence of gas emission intensity upon the distance to production face](image)

Owing to the fact that the formula is obtained as a generalized numerous practical and experimental data, it may be considered as the approximating envelope curve of general totality and analyzed according to similar statistic regularities represented in papers [42]-[44].

Comparing expression (1) and graph in Figure 2 with the formula and graphs of gamma distribution of continuous random value, it may be assumed that the dependence can be described by gamma distribution with \( a = 2 \) parameter represented in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Functions of gamma distribution density in terms of various \( a \)](image)

According to the modeling results demonstrated in Figure 2, it has been determined that, in terms of \( a = 2 \) value, functions of gamma distribution density coincide maximally with the experimental and practical graph of gas emission dependence upon the distance to production face. In this context, it is required to take into account the fact that the probability density in terms of gamma distribution is specified by expression:

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x \leq 0, \\
\frac{\beta^a}{G(a)} x^{a-1} e^{-\beta x}, & \text{if } x > 0.
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

where:

\[
\alpha, \beta > 0; \\
G(a) = \text{gamma Euler function: } \left( \Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} e^{-x} dx \right).
\]

Then, according to the above-mentioned:

\[
G(2) = \int_0^\infty x^{2-1} e^{-x} dx = \frac{1}{\beta} \\
= \frac{x e^{-x} dx}{\beta} = x e^{-x} - e^{-x} x \frac{\beta}{\beta} = 1.
\]

In this case, mathematical expectation is:

\[
M(X) = x_m = \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx = \frac{a G(\alpha)}{\beta^2 G(\alpha)} = \frac{a}{\beta^2} = \frac{2}{2^2}.
\]

(3)

Under such conditions, dispersion will be determined as:

\[
D(x) = \int_0^\infty x^2 f(x) dx - M^2(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} = \frac{2}{2^2}.
\]

(4)

While mean-square deviation is:

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{D(x)} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta}.
\]

(5)

Having compared all the aforementioned, in our case, expression (1) will be as follows:

\[
q = \frac{2}{x_m} e^{-\beta x}.
\]

(6)

Taking into consideration expression (3) \( \beta = \frac{2}{x_m} \), in this case formula (1) may be represented as follows:

\[
q = \frac{4}{x_m} e^{-\frac{2 x}{x_m}}.
\]

(7)

Herewith, \( q_m \) is maximum intensity of gas emission corresponding to value \( x_m \) – being equal to mathematical expectation of the distance to production face which can be determined by following expression:

\[
q_m = \frac{4}{x_m e^{2}}.
\]

(8)

Basing upon the theoretical studies, the coefficients may be represented by interval and may be taken according to specific mining-geological and mining-engineering conditions.

It should be noted that value \( x_m \) determined by mathematical modeling is 2 times more and value \( q_m \) is 1.47 times more than in the case when methods of mathematical analysis are applied for formula (1) (calculation of a derivative and its equating to zero to find extremum point). In this context, according to [42] it is pointed out that comparability of the results obtained with the help of mathematical analysis methods with real practical data is from 30 to 50%. Thus, accuracy of the mentioned statistic approach differs from real practical results by not more than 20%.

Taking into consideration all the above-stated facts and according to Figure 1, the ideas make it possible to evaluate rational range of depth for degassing gas removal wells \( H_{bh} \) or \( x \) and determine depth \( x_m \) corresponding to maximum gas recovery. Another important parameter for that methane capturing technique is the step between removal wells \( R_{bh1} \).
Degassing wells may operate efficiently in terms of certain interval of distances from production face ($H_{bog}$); in terms of the considered case, it is assumed that gas recovery from a removal degassing well follows normal law of distribution (Fig. 4). Then, $m$, mathematical expectation, coincides both with the center of distribution and gas removal well with $x_m$ depth.

![Figure 4. Graph of probability density in terms of normal distribution](image)

Thus, $m = a = 0$ and value of mean-square deviation will be determined basing upon the fact that if $x = m$, then maximum gas recovery is observed being equal to $q_m = \frac{4}{\pi} m^2$, we obtain:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{q_m} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} = \frac{x_m}{4\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

In case of normal distribution, up to 95% of random value fall into the specified interval $[m - 2\sigma; m + 2\sigma]$. The interval width is $4\sigma$ being equal to the required value $R_{bog}$. Thus:

$$R_{bog} = 4\sigma = x_m \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \approx 2.95x_m = 3H_{bog}.$$ (10)

5. Conclusions

The proposed technological scheme for coal rock mass degassing makes it possible to control immediately the process of mine methane recovery including special preparatory operations. When technological process of coal rock mass degassing is simplified, there is the possibility of its control and expansion of the area of efficient application of the system to extract mine gas along with the decrease in time to perform preparatory operations for degassing. That favours both increasing per face output and accompanying mine gas recovery; thus, energy saving and safety of mining operations increase.
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каптации шахтного метана, что, в частности, учитывает показатели подготовки и разработки газообильных угольных пластов. Применены методы математического анализа для описания гамма распределения непрерывной случайной величины интенсивности газовыделения от рассстояния до очистного забоя.

Результаты. Усовершенствована схема дегазации углепородного массива, в которой, при определенном расположении скважин и введением новых технологических операций и параметров, достигается возможность повышения степени дегазации и удаления шахтного газа, в том числе газа метана. Разработанная схема учитывает физико-механические свойства горных пород за счет чего увеличивается объем добываемого газа при снижении суммарных затрат на добычу полезных ископаемых. Математическим моделированием установлено, что функция плотности распределения максимально совпадает с экспериментально-практическим графиком зависимости интенсивности газовыделения от рассстояния до очистного забоя.

Научная новизна. Установлены аналитические зависимости, позволяющие оценить рациональный диапазон глубины дегазационных газоотводящих скважин и шаг между ними согласно предложенной схеме их расположения в пределах выемочного столба.

Практическая значимость. Предлагаемая схема дегазации углепородного массива дает возможность управлять процессом добывчи шахтного метана, включая специальные подготовительные операции. Расширяется область эффективного применения системы извлечения шахтного газа, сокращается время на проведение подготовительных работ по дегазации, что способствует как повышению нагрузки на очистные забои, так и сопутствующей добыче шахтного газа, и за счет этого увеличивается энергосбережение и безопасность ведения горных работ.

Ключевые слова: каптация, метан, угольный пласт, дегазация, углепородный массив, скважина
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